STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE INTER-DEPARTMENT COMMUNICATION

DATE: May 13, 2024

FROM:	Joshua Brown Wetlands Program Specialist	AT (OFFICE):	Department of Transportation
SUBJECT	Shoreland Application Andover, 40392		Bureau of Environment
то	Calvin Diessner, Shoreland Section Super Land Resources Management Water Divis NH Department of Environmental Services P.O. Box 95 Concord, NH 03302-0095	rvisor, sion, S	

Forwarded herewith is the Shoreland application package prepared by NH DOT Bureau of Bridge Design. The proposed project involves the replacement of the existing bridge (Bridge No. 143/077) that carries US Route 4 over the Blackwater River in the Town of Andover. Proposed work includes the replacement of the existing 70-foot span bridge with a 104-foot span bridge (100.5-foot clear span). The new abutments will be constructed behind the existing abutments. The bridge will be widened 8 feet and approximately 500 feet of roadway widening will occur at each end of the bridge. The roadway will also be raised 4.5 feet near the bridge. In addition, an existing farm access driveway will be relocated further west and a stormwater treatment swale is proposed in the northwest bridge quadrant.

This project also has a pending Standard Dredge and Fill Wetlands Application that was submitted to NHDES on 5/3/2024.

The lead people to contact for this project are Jason Tremblay, Bureau of Bridge Design (271-2731 or jason.a.tremblay@dot.nh.gov) or Andrew O'Sullivan, Wetlands Program Manager, Bureau of Environment (271-3226 or Andrew.O'Sullivan@dot.nh.gov).

A payment voucher has been processed for this application (Voucher # 756038) in the amount of \$3,750.00.

If and when this application meets with the approval of the Bureau, please send the permit directly to Andrew O'Sullivan, Wetlands Program Manager, Bureau of Environment.

JRB; cc: BOE Original Town of Andover (4 copies via certified mail) Karl Benedict, NHDES (via electronic notification)

Kevin Nyhan, BOE (via electronic notification)

S:\Environment\PROJECTS\ANDOVER\40392\Wetlands\Final Shoreland Application 5.6.24\Application Submission Documents\WETAPP - Coverletter.doc

US Route 4 over the Blackwater River Bridge Replacement Andover 40392

NHDES SHORELAND PERMIT APPLICATION

Submitted for:



NH Department of Transportation 7 Hazen Drive Concord, NH 03302

Prepared by:



GM2 Associates, Inc. 197 Loudon Road, Suite 310 Concord, NH 03301

May 2024

US Route 4 over the Blackwater River Bridge Replacement Andover 40392

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Shoreland Permit Application Form

USGS Location Map

Supplemental Narrative

Project Description

Protected Shoreland Impacts

Clearing within the Waterfront Buffer

Request Waiver of Minimum Standards

Waterfront Buffer Grid Segment Plan

Waterfront Buffer Grid Segment Tables

Photographs

Тах Мар

NHB DataCheck Results Letter

Shoreland Impact Plans



SHORELAND PERMIT APPLICATION Water Division / Wetlands Bureau

Check Application Status



RSA / Rule: RSA 483-B, Env-Wq 1400

			File No.:
Administrative	Administrative	Administrative	Check No.:
Only	Only	Only	Amount:
			Initials:

This is an application for a permit to excavate, fill, construct new structures, or remove structures within the protected shoreland regulated under RSA 483-B. By providing your email address, you authorize NHDES to communicate all matters relative to this filing electronically, using your email address.

SECTION 1 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION (Env-Wq 1406.07)						
Please concisely describe your proposed project:						
SECTION 2 - PROJECT LOCATIO	N (Env-Wq 1406.07)					
ADDRESS:		TOW	/N/CITY:	STATE:NH	ZIP CODE:	
WATERBODY NAME:		TAX	MAP/ BLOCK/LOT NUM	BER:		
SECTION 3 - PROPERTY OWNE	R AND DEED INFORMATI	ON (E	nv-Wq 1406.07)			
The legal name of each proper	ty owner must be as it ap	pears	on the deed of record.	If the owner is	a trust or a	
company, write the name of th	e trust or company as the	e own	er's name.			
LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, M.I:			1	-	1	
MAILING ADDRESS:			TOWN/CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
PHONE:	EMAIL (if available):					
REGISTRY OF DEED COUNTY	BOO	K NUN	/IBER	PAGE NUMBE	२	
SECTION 4 - APPLICANT (DESIF	RED PERMIT HOLDER), IF	DIFFE	RENT THAN OWNER (Er	v-Wq 1406.07)	
If the applicant is a trust or a co	ompany, write the name	of the	trust or company as the	e applicant's na	me. If the	
applicant is the owner, please	eave blank and check the	e follo	wing box: 📃			
LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, M.I:						
MAILING ADDRESS:		TOW	/N/CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
PHONE:	EMAIL (if available):					
SECTION 5 - CONTRACTOR OR	AGENT (OPTIONAL)					
LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, M.I:						
ADDRESS:		TOW	/N/CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
PHONE:	EMAIL (if available):					

NHDES-W-06-037

SECTION 6 - CRITERIA (Env-Wq 1406.07) Please check at least one of the following: This shoreland permit application requires neither a proposal to make the property more nearly conforming nor a request for a waiver of a minimum standard. This shoreland permit application includes a proposal to make the structures and/or the property more nearly conforming in accordance with RSA 483-B:11. This shoreland permit application includes a request for a waiver of the following minimum standard(s): RSA 483-B:9, V. SECTION 7 - RELATED PERMIT APPLICATIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS PROJECT (Env-Wg 1406.14) Please indicate if you also require the following permits. If so, please indicate the status of your permit application. **File Number** Permit Type **Permit Required Permit Application Status** Alteration of Terrain per APPROVED PENDING DENIED YES NO RSA 485-A:17 Individual Sewerage YES NO APPROVED PENDING DENIED Disposal per RSA 485-A:29 Subdivision Approval per APPROVED PENDING DENIED YES NO RSA 485-A:29 Wetlands Permit per APPROVED PENDING DENIED YES NO RSA 482-A SECTION 8 - REFERENCE LINE ELEVATION (Env-Wg 1406.07) Required for projects located on the protected shoreland of lakes or ponds. The reference line elevations for most lakes, ponds, and artificial impoundments greater than 10 acres are listed in the Consolidated List of Waterbodies Subject to the Shoreland Water Quality Protection Act. See RSA 483-B:4, XVII for the definition of reference line. **REFERENCE LINE ELEVATION (feet above sea level):** SECTION 9 - APPLICATION FEE & SUBMITTAL (RSA 483-B:5-b, I(b); RSA 483-B:5-b, X) A nonrefundable permit application fee of \$200 plus \$0.20 per total square feet of impact for restoration of water quality improvement projects, or \$400 plus \$0.20 per total square feet of impact for all other projects is required at the time the application is submitted. Applications for projects solely funded by municipal, county, state, or federal entities shall incur a permitting fee no greater than \$3,750.

To mail or hand deliver this application and all required attachments to the NHDES Wetlands Bureau, please use PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095. Missing information may delay your shoreland permit application and may result in denial. *If paying by check or money order, please make payable to the Treasurer, State of New Hampshire.*

SECTION 1	0 - CALCULATING TOTAL IMPACT AREA / P	ERMIT APPLICATION FEE (RSA 483-B:5-b, I	(b); RSA 483-B:5-b, X)				
Calculate total impact area by determining the sum of all areas disturbed by regrading, excavating, filling, construction or structure removal. Impacts often include, but are not limited to constructing new driveways, constructing new structures, areas disturbed when installing septic systems and foundations, creating temporary access roads to drill a new well and regrading associated with landscaping activities.							
TOTAL ARE	TOTAL AREA IMPACTED WITHIN THE PROTECTED SHORELAND = 41,804 (A) square feet						
 For res Mi 	 For restoration of water quality improvement projects: Multiply line (A) by \$0.20 and add \$200. [(A) × \$0.20 + \$200] = \$ Permit fee¹ 						
• For all	other projects:						
M	ultiply line (A) by \$0.20 and add \$400. [(A)	× \$0.20 + \$400] = \$3,750	Permit fee				
SECTION 1	1 - REQUIRED CERTIFICATIONS (Env-Wq 14	06.08; Env-Wq 1406.10(a))					
By initialin	g each of the following statements, and sig	ning below, you are certifying that:					
Initials: JAT	The information provided is true, complet	e, and not misleading to my knowledge and	d belief.				
Initials: JAT	 I understand that: Any permit or waiver granted base to revocation. I am subject to the applicable pen Obtaining a shoreland permit shall approvals. 	ed on false, incomplete, or misleading inform alties in RSA 641, Falsification in Official Ma not exempt the work proposed from other	nation shall be subject atters. [.] state, local, or federal				
Initials: JAT	Initials:I have notified the governing body of the municipality or municipalities in which the property is located by certified mail, in accordance with Env-Wq 1406.13.						
Initials: N/A	itials: I have notified all abutters ² of the proposed impacts via certified mail, in accordance with Env-Wq 1406.13.						
Initials: N/A This project is within one-quarter mile of a designated river, and I have provided the Local River Management Advisory Committee (LAC) with a copy of my complete application, including all supporting materials, via certified mail, in accordance with Env-Wq 1406.13. This project is <i>not</i> within one-quarter mile of a designated river.							
Initials: N/A	Initials: For any project proposing that the impervious area be at least 15% but not more than 20% within the protected shoreland, I certify that the impervious area is not more than 20%. I N/A						
SECTION 1 Both the p	SECTION 12 - REQUIRED SIGNATURES (Env-Wq 1406.08) Both the property owner and applicant must sign.						
SIGNATURE	E (OWNER): Jenblay	PRINT NAME LEGIBLY: Jason Tremblay	DATE: 05/06/2024				
SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE (APPLICANT, IF DIFFERENT FROM OWNER): PRINT NAME LEGIBLY: DATE:						

¹ Projects solely funded by municipal, county, state, or federal entities shall incur a permit application fee no greater than \$3,750. ² "Abutter" means any person who owns property immediately contiguous to the property on which the proposed work will take place, or who owns flowage rights on such property. The term does not include properties separated by a public road or located more than ¼ mile from the limits of the proposed work. If contiguous properties are owned by the person who is proposing the work, then the term includes the person owning the next contiguous property, subject to the ¼ mile limitation.

SHORELAND PERMIT APPLICATION WORKSHEET

You must include this worksheet with every shoreland permit application. Include a separate worksheet for each individual lot of record where impacts are proposed.

In this worksheet, "pre-construction" impervious surface area³ means all human-made impervious surfaces⁴ currently present within the protected shoreland of a lot, whether to be removed or to remain after the project is completed. "Post-construction" impervious area means all impervious surfaces that will exist within the protected shoreland of a lot upon completion of the project, including both new and any remaining pre-construction impervious surfaces. All answers must be in square feet.

Calculating Impervious Area

CALCULATING THE IMPERVIOUS AREA OF A LOT WITHIN 250 FEET OF THE REFERENCE LINE (Env-Wq 1406.12)						
	STRUCTURE DESCRIPTION	PRE-CONSTRUCTION IMPERVIOUS AREAS	POST-CONSTRUCTION IMPERVIOUS AREAS			
PRIMARY STRUCTURE(S) House and all attached decks and porches.		FT ²	FT ²			
ACCESSORY STRUCTURES		FT ²	FT ²			
All other impervious surfaces		FT ²	FT ²			
excluding lawn furniture, well		FT ²	FT ²			
heads, and fences. Common		FT ²	FT ²			
accessory structures may		FT ²	FT ²			
patios and sheds.		FT ²	FT ²			
	TOTAL:	(A) FT ² 13,019	(B) FT ²			
Area of the lot located within 25	(C) FT ²					
Percentage of lot covered by pre reference line: [divide (A) by (C) >	(D) %					
Percentage of lot to be covered l reference line upon completion of [divide (B) by (C) x 100]	(E) %					

³ "Impervious surface area" as defined in Env-Wq 1402.13 means, for purposes of the impervious surface limitation specified in RSA 483-B:9, V(g), the total footprint of each impervious surface that is located within the protected shoreland.

⁴ "Impervious surface" as defined in RSA 483-B:4, VII-b means any modified surface that cannot effectively absorb or infiltrate water. Examples may include roofs, and unless designed to effectively absorb or infiltrate water, decks, patios, and paved, gravel, or crushed stone driveways, parking areas, and walkways.

Stormwater Management Requirements

IMPERVIOUS AREA THRESHOLDS (RSA 483-B:9, V(g))

A net decrease or no net increase in impervious area is proposed (If **line E** is less than or equal to **line D**).

The percentage of post-construction impervious area (line E) is less than or equal to 20%.

This project *does not require* a stormwater management plan and *does not require* a plan demonstrating that each waterfront buffer grid segment at least meets the minimum required tree and sapling point score.

A net increase in impervious area is proposed and the percentage of post-construction impervious area (line E) is greater than 20%, but less than 30%.

This project *requires* a stormwater management but *does not require* a plan demonstrating that each waterfront buffer grid segment at least meets the minimum required tree and sapling point score.

See details on Application Checklist

A net increase in impervious area is proposed and the percentage of post-construction impervious area (line E) is greater than 30%.

This project *requires* a stormwater management plan designed and certified by a professional engineer *and requires* plans demonstrating that each waterfront buffer grid segment meets at least the minimum required tree and sapling point score.

See details on Application Checklist

Natural Woodland Area Requirements

DETERMINING THE AREA TO REMAIN AS NATURAL WOODLAND					
Total area of the lot between 50 feet and 150 feet of the reference line within which the vegetation currently exists as natural woodland ⁵ (see definition below).	(F) FT ²				
Total area of the lot between 50 feet and 150 feet from the reference line.	(G) FT ²				
At least 25% of area (G) must remain in as natural woodland. [0.25 x G]	(H) FT ²				
Place the lesser of area (F) and calculation (H) on this line. To comply with the <i>natural</i> woodland area requirement, this is the minimum area that must remain as natural woodland between 50 feet and 150 feet from the reference line. This area must be represented on all plans and this area, exclusive of existing lawn, must remain in an unaltered state ⁶ .	(I) FT ²				
Name of person who prepared this worksheet:					
Name and date of the plan associated with this worksheet:					

⁵ "Natural Woodland" means a forested area consisting of various species of trees, saplings, shrubs, and ground covers in any combination and at any stage of growth (483-B:4, XI).

⁶ "Unaltered State" means native vegetation allowed to grow without cutting, limbing, trimming, pruning, mowing, or other similar activities except as needed for renewal or to maintain or improve plant health (483-B:4, XXIV-b).

29 Hazen Drive, PO Box 95, Concord, NH 03302-0095 shoreland@des.nh.gov or (603) 271-2147 des.nh.gov



US Route 4 over Blackwater River Andover, NH



Supplemental Narrative

Project Description

The proposed project involves the replacement of the existing bridge (Bridge No. 143/077) that carries US Route 4 over the Blackwater River in the Town of Andover, NH. The existing structure is a through-plate girder, 70-foot single-span bridge (67-foot clear span). The substructure consists of concrete gravity-type abutments and U-back wingwalls. The bridge was built in 1933 and is currently on the State Red List due to its deteriorated condition.

Proposed work includes the replacement of the existing bridge with a 104-foot span bridge (100.5-foot clear span). The new abutments will be constructed behind the existing abutments. The existing abutments will be cut at the ground level and stone will be placed at the edges of the channel for scour protection. The flatter areas of riprap near the abutments will be backfilled with finer material to create wildlife crossing shelves. The bridge will be widened 8 feet and approximately 500 feet of roadway widening will occur at each end of the bridge to match the existing roadway pavement to the wider bridge. The roadway will also be raised 4.5 feet near the bridge.

Since the project is altering the roadway near the agricultural field in the northwest bridge quadrant, an existing farm access driveway is being relocated further west. This relocation was requested by the property owner to accommodate the turning radius of the farm equipment in the southern corner of the field and to allow for safe access to and from US Route 4.

The bridge will be closed during construction and traffic will be detoured. Temporary and permanent easements will be required. Permanent easements are proposed in all four bridge quadrants to allow for long-term access and maintenance with additional area required in the northwest quadrant for the construction and maintenance of the proposed stormwater treatment swale. Temporary construction easements are required along the roadway where the proposed slopes extend beyond the existing NHDOT right-of-way. A utility construction easement is also proposed for utility pole relocation.

The purpose of the project is to improve safety by replacing a deteriorated bridge. Rehabilitation of the existing bridge is not feasible due to the poor condition of the existing substructure. In addition, the existing bridge is undersized and does not convey the 100-year storm. During major storms, water overtops the banks of the Blackwater River and floods the section of US Route 4 near the bridge. The new bridge will convey the 100-year storm with 1-foot of freeboard and will also accommodate the 500-year storm, however the roadway approaches will still experience flooding during major storm events. US Route 4 near the bridge is relatively flat and is below the 100-year floodplain elevation. To prevent overtopping of the roadway, approximately ½-mile of US Route 4 would need to be raised. This was determined to be beyond the scope of the project and would result in additional impacts to adjacent wetland resources.

Since the project involves greater than 50,000 square feet of disturbance and is subject to NHDES Alteration of Terrain rules, a stormwater treatment swale is proposed northwest of the bridge. Erosion and sediment controls will be used to avoid water quality impacts during construction.

The proposed project will result in permanent and temporary impacts to the Blackwater River channel and adjacent floodplain wetlands. Permanent wetland impacts will result from roadway widening, slope work, and relocation of a farm access driveway. Permanent watercourse impacts will result from the construction of the new bridge abutments and placement of stone for scour protection. Temporary watercourse impacts will result from the removal of the existing bridge abutments, dewatering, and construction access. A NHDES Wetlands Permit application is being submitted for the proposed temporary and permanent impacts within floodplain wetlands and the Blackwater River channel.

Protected Shoreland Impacts

The project proposes approximately 41,804 square feet of impact within the Protected Shoreland (refer to the following table for a summary of the impacts). These impacts will result from the driveway relocation, roadway reconstruction/widening, and bridge replacement.

Proposed Impact
8,641 square feet
-
17,011 square feet
16,152 square feet
-

Protected Shoreland Impacts

*Areas shown are within the project limits (NHDOT existing right-of-way and proposed easements) and the 250-foot Protected Shoreland Zone.

The project proposes impacts within the Natural Woodland Buffer (NWB) Zone. There is currently only a small amount of natural woodland within the project area (includes the existing NHDOT right-of-way and the proposed easements). Approximately 2.3% of the NWB area within the project limits will be maintained as natural woodland. Compliance with the minimum standard of 25% of the NWB to be maintained is not able to be achieved since the project is a linear bridge/roadway project. The existing NHDOT right-of-way is narrow within the project area and contains very little natural woodland. Clearing of natural woodland buffer beyond the existing right-of-way is required for roadway slope work.

Natural Woodland Buffer Summary

Total NWB Area within Project Limits	18,323 square feet
Existing NWB within Project Limits	4,473 square feet
Area to Remain as natural woodland	423 square feet
	(2.3% of Total NWB Area)

*The limits of the project include the area within the existing NHDOT right-of-way and proposed easements.

The project will result in new impervious surface within the Protected Shoreland from bridge and roadway widening and from construction of a gravel farm access driveway. The bridge will be widened 8 feet and 500 feet of roadway widening is proposed at the approach to match the existing pavement to the widened bridge. The proposed gravel farm access driveway will replace an existing dirt driveway. These changes will result in a net increase in impervious surface of approximately 5,786 square feet. The bridge and roadway widening will be within the existing NHDOT right-of-way while the proposed driveway will extend beyond the right-of-way.

	1003
Existing Impervious Area	13,019 square feet
Proposed Impervious Area	
Permanent	5,786 square feet
Temporary	0 square feet
Post-Construction Impervious Area	18,805 square feet
Total Area within Protected Shoreland	57,090 square feet
Percentage of Existing Impervious	23%
Percentage of Total Post-Construction Impervious	33%

Existing and Proposed Impervious*

*Areas shown are within the project limits (NHDOT existing right-of-way and proposed easements) and the 250-foot Protected Shoreland Zone

The percentage of the proposed impervious surface within the project is greater than 30%. Since the project is a linear roadway/bridge project, a large portion of the area within NHDOT right-of-way is existing roadway pavement. As described above, a stormwater treatment swale is proposed northwest of the bridge.

Stormwater runoff will be collected via two curb line catch basins at Sta. 100+17 and Sta. 101+17. Two additional drainage structures are proposed to convey the runoff to the swale for treatment. Approximately 6,224 square feet of pavement will be treated by the proposed swale. Although this is less than the NHDES Alteration of Terrain (AoT) treatment goal, it is anticipated to result in water quality improvements since stormwater runoff from the project area is currently untreated. There are limited options for stormwater treatment at the site due to the extensive wetlands. Construction of additional treatment areas would have resulted in increased wetland impacts.

Clearing within the Waterfront Buffer

Tree clearing within the Protected Shoreland is proposed on the western and eastern side of the Blackwater River for construction access, relocation of the farm access driveway, construction of a stormwater treatment swale, and slope work from roadway widening. A portion of the clearing will occur within the Waterfront Buffer on both sides of the river.

Tree grid counts were completed to determine if each impacted grid segment will maintain at least 25 points (refer to enclosed Waterfront Buffer Grid Segment Plan and Table). Six grid segments were used along the west side of the Blackwater River and six were used along the east side. Of these, 5 grids (Grids 4, 5, 9, 10, and 11) do not contain any trees and/or do not currently meet the 25-point minimum. These grids are located within and adjacent to US Route 4 at the crossing. Plantings are not proposed in these segments since Grids 4 and 10 include the roadway, Grids 5 and 11 are regularly cleared for the existing overhead utility lines, and Grid 9 only includes 1 tree that will be removed.

Grids 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, and 12 currently have tree cover that meets the 25-point minimum requirement. No clearing is proposed in Grid 7. Some tree clearing is proposed within Grids 6 and 12, but the remaining trees will meet the 25-point minimum requirement. Grids 1, 2, 3, and 8 currently have over 25 points but the 25-point minimum will not be met post-construction. These areas will be cleared for construction access, slope work, and the placement of stone along the bridge abutments. Plantings are not proposed since the area will remain cleared to allow for long-term access and future maintenance. The areas cleared for the slope work will be seeded post-construction.



REQUEST WAIVER OF MINIMUM STANDARDS Water Division / Land Resources Management Shoreland Program <u>Check the status of your application.</u>



RSA/ Rule: RSA 483-B:9, V(i) / Env-Wq 1409

You may use this form to request a waiver of the Minimum Standards of RSA 483-B:9, V of the Shoreland Water Quality Protection Act.

Waivers may only be granted if strict compliance with the minimum standards will provide no material benefit to the public and have no material adverse effect on the environment or the natural resources of the state.

To be eligible, applicants must clearly demonstrate how these criteria are satisfied (as described in Sections 1-3). Alternatively, you may request a waiver to accommodate the reasonable needs of persons with disabilities (as described in Sections 1 and 4).

SECTION 1 - MINIMUM STANDARD(S) REQUESTED TO BE WAIVED (Env-Wq 1409.01)

RSA 483-B:9, V(i)

SECTION 2 - EXPLAIN HOW STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH THE MINIMUM STANDARD(S) WOULD PROVIDE NO MATERIAL BENEFIT TO THE PUBLIC (Env-Wq 1409.01; RSA 483-B:9, V(i)

SECTION 3 - EXPLAIN HOW GRANTING A WAIVER OF THE MINIMUM STANDARDS WOULD HAVE NO MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT OR NATURAL RESOURCES OF THE STATE (Env-Wq 1409.01; RSA 483-B:9, V(i)

SECTION 4 - PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (Env-Wq 1409.01; Env-Wq 1409.02(b); RSA 483-B:9, V(i)

Please provide an explanation of how the proposal is adequate to ensure that the intent of RSA 483-B is met. Please explain why granting a waiver is necessary to accommodate the individual's disability. Please note that medical details are not being requested. Please only describe the limitations faced by the individual(s) for whom the waiver is being requested.

Please also submit a statement signed by the physician attending the individual for the disability or disabilities certifying that the impacts or structures for which the waiver is being requested are necessary to accommodate the individual's disability or disabilities. Please note, details specific to the nature of the disability are not requested. Only specify that the project is necessary to meet the needs specific to the individual for whom the waiver is being requested.

Statement submitted.



WATERFRONT BUFFER GRID SEGMENTS WEST OF BRIDGE NO. 143/077

Grid #1					
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter (inches)	Point Score (Existing)	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed)
1	black cherry (<i>Prunus serotina</i>)	7	5	N	5
2	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	5	5	N	5
3	shrubs	36 x 48	-	Ν	
4	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	10	10	Y	
5	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	11	10	Y	
6	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	12	10	Y	
7	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	4	5	Y	
8	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	15	15	Y	
		Total	60		10
Grid #2					
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter (inches)	Point Score (Existing)	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed)
9	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	12	10	Y	
10	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	4	5	Y	
11	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	14	15	Y	
12	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	10	10	Y	
13	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	8	10	Y	
14	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	7	10	Y	
15	red maple (Acer rubrum)	6	5	Y	
16	red maple (Acer rubrum)	4	5	Y	
17	slippery elm (<i>Ulmus rubra</i>)	6	5	Y	
18	shrubs	24 x 36	-	Υ	
		Total	75		0

Gilu #3					
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter (inches)	Point Score (Existing)	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed)
19	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	10	10	Y	
20	red maple (Acer rubrum)	9	10	Y	
21	red maple (Acer rubrum)	14	15	Y	
22	red maple (Acer rubrum)	3	1	Y	
23	slippery elm (<i>Ulmus rubra</i>)	9	10	Y	
24	red maple (Acer rubrum)	8	10	Y	
25	red maple (Acer rubrum)	8	10	Y	
26	red maple (Acer rubrum)	3	1	Y	
27	red maple (Acer rubrum)	13	15	Y	
28	red maple (Acer rubrum)	3	1	Y	
		Total	83		0
Grid #4					
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter (inches)	Point Score (Existing)	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed)
Map ID Grid #4 doo of Bridge N	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077.	Diameter (inches) It includes the	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west
Map ID Grid #4 doo of Bridge N A minimal a	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077. amount of clearing within	Diameter (inches) It includes the Grid #4 is pro	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s	Cut? shoulders of U	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west
Map ID Grid #4 doo of Bridge N A minimal a	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077. amount of clearing within	Diameter (inches) It includes the Grid #4 is pro	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s	Cut? shoulders of U	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west
Map ID Grid #4 doo of Bridge N A minimal a Grid #5* *The major Route 4.	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077. amount of clearing within	Diameter (inches) It includes the Grid #4 is pro	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s boosed.	Cut? shoulders of U	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west
Map ID Grid #4 doo of Bridge N A minimal a Grid #5* *The major Route 4.	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077. amount of clearing within	Diameter (inches) It includes the Grid #4 is prop cleared area	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s boosed.	Cut? shoulders of U ad utility lines	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west adjacent to US
Map ID Grid #4 doo of Bridge N A minimal a Grid #5* *The major Route 4. Map ID	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077. amount of clearing within rity of Grid #5 includes the Tree Species	Diameter (inches) It includes the Grid #4 is pro e cleared area Diameter (Inches)	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s bosed. beneath overhea Point Score (Existing)	Cut? shoulders of U ad utility lines Cut?	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west adjacent to US Points Score (Proposed)
Map ID Grid #4 dod of Bridge N A minimal a Grid #5* *The major Route 4. Map ID 84	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077. amount of clearing within rity of Grid #5 includes the Tree Species shrubs	Diameter (inches) It includes the Grid #4 is prop e cleared area Diameter (Inches) 36 x 36	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s boosed. beneath overhe Point Score (Existing)	Cut? shoulders of U ad utility lines Cut?	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west adjacent to US Points Score (Proposed)
Map ID Grid #4 doo of Bridge N A minimal a Grid #5* *The major Route 4. Map ID 84	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077. amount of clearing within rity of Grid #5 includes the Tree Species shrubs	Diameter (inches) It includes the Grid #4 is properties cleared area Diameter (Inches) 36 x 36 Total	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s boosed. beneath overhe Point Score (Existing) -	Cut? shoulders of U ad utility lines Cut?	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west adjacent to US Points Score (Proposed)
Map ID Grid #4 doo of Bridge N A minimal a Grid #5* *The major Route 4. Map ID 84 Grid #6	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077. amount of clearing within rity of Grid #5 includes the Tree Species shrubs	Diameter (inches) It includes the Grid #4 is prop cleared area Diameter (Inches) 36 x 36 Total	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s boosed. beneath overhe Point Score (Existing) -	Cut? shoulders of U ad utility lines Cut?	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west adjacent to US Points Score (Proposed)
Map ID Grid #4 dod of Bridge N A minimal a Grid #5* *The major Route 4. Map ID 84 Grid #6 Map ID	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077. amount of clearing within rity of Grid #5 includes the Tree Species shrubs Tree Species	Diameter (inches) It includes the Grid #4 is proper e cleared area Diameter (Inches) 36 x 36 Total Diameter (Inches)	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s boosed. beneath overhe Point Score (Existing) - - -	Cut? shoulders of U ad utility lines Cut? Y Cut?	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west adjacent to US Points Score (Proposed)
Map ID Grid #4 doo of Bridge N A minimal a Grid #5* *The major Route 4. Map ID 84 Grid #6 Map ID 29	Tree Species es not contain any trees. lo. 143/077. amount of clearing within rity of Grid #5 includes the Tree Species shrubs Tree Species red maple (Acer rubrum)	Diameter (inches) It includes the Grid #4 is proper e cleared area Diameter (Inches) 36 x 36 Total Diameter (Inches) 18	Point Score (Existing) travel way and s bosed. beneath overhead Point Score (Existing) -	Cut? shoulders of U ad utility lines Cut? Y Cut? Y	Points Score (Proposed) S Route 4, west adjacent to US Points Score (Proposed) Points Score (Proposed)

Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter	Boint Score	0	
		(Inches)	(Existing)	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed)
30	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	2	1	N	1
31	slippery elm (<i>Ulmus rubra</i>)	2	1	Y	
32	black cherry (Prunus serotina)	2.5	1	Y	
33	red maple (Acer rubrum)	9	10	Y	
34	unknown birch (<i>Betula sp.</i>)	1.5	1	Y	
35	unknown birch (<i>Betula sp.</i>)	1	1	Y	
36	red maple (Acer rubrum)	14	15	Y	
37	red maple (Acer rubrum)	9	10	Y	
38	red maple (Acer rubrum)	4	5	N	5
39	red maple (Acer rubrum)	9	10	N	10
40	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	4	5	N	5
41	red maple (Acer rubrum)	2	1	N	1
42	red maple (Acer rubrum)	1	1	N	1
43	red maple (Acer rubrum)	13	15	N	15
44	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	12	10	N	10
45	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	10	10	N	10
46	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	11	10	N	10
		Total	122		68

WATERFRONT BUFFER GRID SEGMENTS EAST OF BRIDGE NO. 143/077

Grid #7					
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter (Inches)	Point Score (Existing)	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed)
47	red maple (Acer rubrum)	13	15	N	15
48	red maple (Acer rubrum)	17	15	N	15
49	red maple (Acer rubrum)	5	5	N	5
50	red maple (Acer rubrum)	17	15	N	15
51	red maple (Acer rubrum)	7	10	N	10
52	red maple (Acer rubrum)	6	5	N	5
	(Total	65		65
Grid #8					
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter (Inches)	Point Score (Existing)	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed)
53	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	14	15	Y	
54	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	7	10	Y	
55	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	11	10	Y	
56	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	14	15	Y	
57	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	12	10	Y	
58	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	15	15	Y	
59	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	24	15	Y	
60	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	5	5	Y	
61	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	8	10	Y	
62	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	18	15	Y	
63	red maple (<i>Acer rubrum</i>)	17	15	Y	
64	slippery elm (<i>Ulmus rubra</i>)	9	10	N	10
65	slippery elm (<i>Ulmus rubra</i>)	1	1	N	1
66	shrubs	12 x 12	-	Y	

Grid #8 (con	tinued)								
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter	Point Score	Cut?	Points Score				
		(Inches)	(Existing)		(Proposed)				
67	red maple	11	10	Y					
	(Acer rubrum)								
		Total	156		11				
Grid #9									
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter (Inches)	Point Score (Existing)	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed)				
68	red maple	9	10	Y					
	(Acer rubrum)								
		Total	10		0				
Grid #10									
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter	Point Score	Cut?	Points Score				
		(Inches)	(Existing)		(Proposed)				
Grid #10 does	s not contain any trees. It ir	ncludes the tra	avel way and sho	oulders of US	Route 4, east				
of Bridge No.	143/077.		2						
A minimal am	ount of clearing within Gric	l #10 is propo	sed.						
Grid #11		Grid #11							
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter	Point Score	Cut?	Points Score				
Map ID	Tree Species	Diameter (Inches)	Point Score (Existing)	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed)				
Map ID Grid #11 does	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes	Cut? the cleared a	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4.	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes	Cut? the cleared a	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4.	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes	Cut? the cleared a	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou ant of clearing is proposed i	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11.	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes	Cut? the cleared a	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou int of clearing is proposed i	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11.	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes	Cut? the cleared a	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou int of clearing is proposed i	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11.	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes	Cut? the cleared a	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou ant of clearing is proposed i	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11.	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes	Cut? the cleared a	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou int of clearing is proposed i Tree Species	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes	Cut? the cleared a Cut?	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou ant of clearing is proposed i Tree Species	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches)	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing)	Cut? the cleared a Cut?	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed)				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69*	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou int of clearing is proposed i Tree Species red maple (Accurrentering)	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5	Cut? the cleared a Cut?	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69*	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou int of clearing is proposed i Tree Species red maple (Acer rubrum) red maple	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69* 70	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou int of clearing is proposed i Tree Species red maple (Acer rubrum) red maple (Acer rubrum)	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5 5	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved Y	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69* 70	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou int of clearing is proposed i Tree Species red maple (Acer rubrum) red maple (Acer rubrum) red maple (Acer rubrum)	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5 5	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved Y	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69* 70 71	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou int of clearing is proposed i Tree Species red maple (Acer rubrum) red maple (Acer rubrum) red maple (Acer rubrum) (Acer rubrum)	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4 4 2	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5 5 1	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved Y Y	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69* 70 71	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Round to US Round to US Round to Clearing is proposed in the type of ty	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4 4 2	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5 5 1 15	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved Y Y	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69* 70 71 72	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Round to US Round to US Round to Clearing is proposed in the type of ty	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4 4 2 15	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5 5 1 15	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved Y Y Y	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69* 70 71 72 73	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rou int of clearing is proposed i Int of clearing is pr	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4 4 2 15	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5 5 1 15 10	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved Y Y Y Y	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69* 70 71 72 73	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Round to US Round to US Round to Clearing is proposed in the type of ty	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4 4 2 15 11	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5 5 1 15 10	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved Y Y Y Y Y	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69* 70 71 72 73 74	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Round to US Round to US Round to Clearing is proposed in the formation of clearing is proposed in the formation of the formation o	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4 4 4 2 15 11	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5 5 1 15 10 10	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved Y Y Y Y Y	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69* 70 71 72 73 74	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Round to US Round to US Round to Clearing is proposed in the following of the clearing is proposed in the following of the clear rung is proposed in the clear rung i	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4 4 2 15 11 7	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5 5 1 15 10 10	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved Y Y Y Y Y Y	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				
Map ID Grid #11 does overhead utili A small amou Grid #12 Map ID 69* 70 71 72 73 74 75	Tree Species s not contain any trees. The ty lines adjacent to US Rould t	Diameter (Inches) e majority of t ute 4. n Grid #11. Diameter (Inches) 4 4 2 15 11 7 3	Point Score (Existing) he grid includes Point Score (Existing) 5 5 1 15 10 10 1 1	Cut? the cleared a Cut? N - *to be saved Y Y Y Y Y Y N	Points Score (Proposed) rea beneath Points Score (Proposed) 5				

Grid #12 (continued)								
Map ID	Indep ID Tree Species		Tree Species Diameter Point S (Inches) (Existi		Point Score (Existing)	Cut?	Points Score (Proposed)	
76	American hornbeam (Carpinus caroliniana)	4	5	N	5			
77	American hornbeam (Carpinus caroliniana)	4	5	N	5			
78	American hornbeam (Carpinus caroliniana)	5	5	N	5			
79	red maple (Acer rubrum)	7	10	N	10			
80	black cherry (Prunus serotina)	8	10	N	10			
81	red maple (Acer rubrum)	2.5	1	N	1			
82	shrubs	96x72	-	N				
83	unknown oak (<i>Quercus sp.</i>)	2	1	Y				
		Total	84		42			



Photo 1. View southwest toward US Route 4 from the northwest bridge quadrant. Photo taken on 4/15/2024.



Photo 2. Blackwater River and bank in the northwest bridge quadrant, view northeast. Photo taken on 4/15/2024.



Photo 3. View northeast toward US Route 4 from the southwest bridge quadrant. Photo taken on 4/15/2024.



Photo 4. View east from the southwest bridge quadrant. Photo taken on 4/15/2024.



Photo 5. View northwest toward the Blackwater River from the northeast bridge quadrant. Photo taken on 4/15/2024.



Photo 6. View northeast of the northeast bridge quadrant. Photo taken on 4/15/2024.



Photo 7. View northwest of the cleared area in the southeast bridge quadrant. Photo taken on 4/15/2024.



Photo 8. View west of the southeast bridge quadrant. Photo taken on 4/15/2024.



Photo 9. View northwest toward Bridge No. 143/077. Photo taken on 6/10/2022.



Photo 10. View southeast toward Bridge No. 143/077. Photo taken on 7/19/2019.



- To: New Hampshire DOT 7 Hazen Dr Concord, NH 03302
- From: NH Natural Heritage Bureau
- Date: 12/27/2023 (This letter is valid through 12/27/2024)
 - Re: Review by NH Natural Heritage Bureau of request dated 12/27/2023
 - Permit Types: Shoreland Standard Permit Wetland Standard Dredge & Fill - Major Federal: NEPA Review
 - NHB ID: NHB23-3680
 - Applicant: New Hampshire DOT
 - Location: Andover Tax Map: N/A, Tax Lot: N/A Address: US Route 4 over the Blackwater River
- **Proj. Description:** The project involves the replacement of the existing bridge that carries US Route 4 over the Blackwater River in Andover (NHDOT Project 40392). Proposed work includes replacement of the existing bridge structure, construction of new abutments behind the existing abutments, and roadway approach work extending from approximately 500 feet on each end of the bridge. The existing bridge abutments will be cut at ground level and stone riprap will be placed at the edge of the river channel for scour protection. The bridge will be closed to traffic during construction and construction of a temporary detour bridge is not proposed. Previous NHB numbers: NHB18-3627, NHB20-3503, and NHB22-0947.

The NH Natural Heritage database has been checked for records of rare species and exemplary natural communities near the area mapped below. The species considered include those listed as Threatened or Endangered by either the state of New Hampshire or the federal government. We currently have no recorded occurrences for sensitive species near this project area.

A negative result (no record in our database) does not mean that a sensitive species is not present. Our data can only tell you of known occurrences, based on information gathered by qualified biologists and reported to our office. However, many areas have never been surveyed, or have only been surveyed for certain species. An on-site survey would provide better information on what species and communities are indeed present.

Based on the information submitted, no further consultation with the NH Fish and Game Department pursuant to Fis 1004 is required.



MAP OF PROJECT BOUNDARIES FOR: NHB23-3680



STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

SHORELAND PLANS BRIDGE REPLACEMENT PROJECT

X-A004(384) N.H. PROJECT NO. 40392 US ROUTE 4 OVER BLACKWATER RIVER BRIDGE NO. 143/077



TOWN OF ANDOVER

COUNTY OF MERRIMACK

SCALE: 1" = 40'

FOR CONSTRUCTION AND ALIGNMENT DETAILS - SEE CONSTRUCTION PLANS





ORIGINAL GROUND WETLAND (TYPICALS) DELINEAT ORDINARY TOP OF BA ROCK OUTCROP TOP OF BA NORMAL H WIDTH AT PRIME WE1 *щщщщщщщщ*щ ROCK LINE PRIME WE1 (TYPICALS & SECTIONS ONLY) NON-JURIS COWARDIN PROPOSED existing TIDAL BUF bgr DEVELOPED GUARDRAIL (label type) HIGHEST MEAN HIGH _____H JERSEY BARRIER MEAN LOW VERNAL PO SPECIAL REFERENCE CURB (LABEL TYPE) WATER FRO NATURAL STONE WALL PROTECTED INVASIVE (points toward INVASIVE RETAINING WALL (LABEL TYPE) retained ground) _^__ FENCE (LABEL TYPE) ____//· ____//_____//____ 500 YEAR ___ (single post) ___ 100 YEAR SIGNS (double post) ---FLOODWAY ⊙ gp GAS PUMP \odot f + (label size & type) FUEL TANK (ABOVE GROUND) CONSTRUC PC, PT, ⊙ fC STORAGE TANK FILLER CAP PI (IN CO S SEPTIC TANK INTERSECT TWO LINES • gr GRAVE ORIGINAL (PROFILES • mb MAILBOX PROF ILE (PROFILES VENT PIPE ΟVΡ da⁰ CLEARING SATELLITE DISH ANTENNA SLOPE LI 🛛 ph PHONE SLOPE LI SLOPE LIN -⇔gl -⊖ lp GROUND LIGHT/LAMP POST PROFILES AND CROSS SECTIONS: 72.5 ⊕ _B BORING LOCATION ORIGINAL GROUND ELEVATION (LEFT) FINISHED GRADE ELEVATION (RIGHT) SHEET 1 OF 2 TEST PIT STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE হন্ট DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION • BUREAU OF HIGHWAY DESIGN INTERSTATE NUMBERED HIGHWAY 3 STANDARD SYMBOLS UNITED STATES NUMBERED HIGHWAY 102 STATE NUMBERED HIGHWAY SHEET NO. TOTAL SHEETS REVISION DATE STATE PROJECT NO. DGN 11-21-2014 40392STDSYMB 1 40392 2 9

SHORFLAND - WETLAND

DESIGNATION AND TYPE		2	
DESIGNATION AND THE		PUB2E	
ED WETLAND	- — D W — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	- — D W — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	- — D W — -
HIGH WATER	—— — онш— —	—— — онш— —	— — ОН
ANK ANK & ODDINADY UICU WATED		TOB	
IGH WATER	— — I O B O H W —	— — пов	
BANK FULL	— — WBF—		
TLAND	PWET	PWET -	
TLAND 100' BUFFER	——————————————————————————————————————		00— ——
SDICTIONAL DRAINAGE AREA	——————————————————————————————————————	——————————————————————————————————————	
DISTINCTION LINE	— — — CDL—		
FFER ZONE	——————————————————————————————————————	——————————————————————————————————————	——————————————————————————————————————
D TIDAL BUFFER ZONE	——————————————————————————————————————	——————————————————————————————————————	
DBSERVABLE TIDE LINE	——————————————————————————————————————	- ——— — нот	ι
H WATER	— — — MHW—	м — м н м —	
WAIEK	— — MLW—		<u> </u>
JUL Annatic site	VP VP	VP VP	VP
E LINE			
ONT BUFFER		WB50	
WOODLAND BUFFER		NWB15	0 —
) SHORELAND	———— — PS250 —	PS250	
SPECIES LABEL	$\frac{1}{\nabla}$	$\overline{1}$	
SPECIES	INV		1 NIV
FLOODPLAIN BOUNDARY FLOODPLAIN BOUNDARY	—————————————————————————————————————	0— — F 0— — F	Р 5 0 0 — — Р I 0 0 — —
	—— — F W —— ——	— — F W — — —	— — F W —
ENGI	NEERING		
TION BASELINE	 	 31	+ + 32
POT (ON CONST BASELINE)	30		52
DNSTRUCTION BASELINES)		\bigtriangleup	
FION OR EQUATION OF S		\bigcirc	
GROUND LINE S AND CROSS-SECTIONS)			
GRADE LINE 5 AND CROSS-SECTIONS)			NG LINF
LINE			
NE	Thuludu.	Lund . Lunder	
			<u> </u>
NE (FILL)			
NE (CUT)		r + + + + + +	
		\T	

DRAINAGE

	UTILITIES	•		TRAFFIC	SIGNALS	/ ITS
	existing	PROPOSE	<u>.D</u>		existing	PROPOSED
TELEPHONE POLE	—					A A
POWER POLE				MAST ARM (existing)	$\overline{\cdot}$	(NOTE ANGLE FROM B)
JOINT OCCUPANCY		plot point at face ot center of symbol)	OPTICOM RECEIVER		
MISCELLANEOUS/UNKNOWN POLE	_			OPTICOM STROBE		
				TRAFFIC SIGNAL	$\bigcirc \bigcirc$	$\Theta \longrightarrow$
GUT PULE UR PUSH BRACE		\leftarrow		PEDESTAL WITH PEDESTRIAN SIGNA HEADS AND PUSH BUTTON UNIT		
LIGHT POLE				SIGNAL CONDUIT	cc	-PCPC-
LIGHT ON POWER POLE				CONTROLLER CABINET	×cc	⊠CC
LIGHT ON JOINT POLE]	METER PEDESTAL	🛛 mp	⊠ MP
				PULL BOX	□ pb	
POLE STATUS: REMOVE, LEAVE, PROPOSED, OR TEMPORARY AS APPLICABLE e.g.:		<u>P+04</u> 25.0'	$\mathbf{\nabla}^{\frac{1+04}{25\cdot0'}}$	LOOP DETECTOR (QUADRUPOLE)		
	-+ + + + +			LOOP DETECTOR (RECTANGULAR)		
RAILROAD	(label ownerst		 	CAMERA POLE (CCTV)	S	
RAILROAD SIGN	\mathbf{i}	X		FIBER OPTIC DELINEATOR	⊙fod	⊙FOD
RAILROAD SIGNAL	$\triangleright \odot \triangleleft$	$\triangleright \odot \triangleleft$		FIBER OPTIC SPLICE VAULT	(f) S N	
UTILITY JUNCTION BOX	Хjb	⊠JB		ITS EQUIPMENT CABINET	⊠i†s	SVF MITS
				VARIABLE SPEED LIMIT SIGN		
OVERHEAD WIRE	(label type	wOw ;)	—Ow———	DYNAMIC MESSAGE SIGN	$\square \bigcirc$	 ··
UNDERGROUND UTILITIES				ROAD AND WEATHER INFO SYSTEM	\sim - \circ	← ···
WATER label size, type and note if abandoned)	w	w — Pw	PW	CONSTRUC	TION NOTE	S
SEWER	S	s —Ps	—PS——	CURB MARK NUMBER - BITUMINOUS		B-1
TELEPHONE	T	T PT	— PT ———	CURB MARK NUMBER - GRANITE		G-1
ELECTRIC	——— E ————	E PE	PE	CLEARING AND GRUBBING AREA		A
GAS	G	G ——— PG ————	— PG	DRAINAGE NOTE		$\begin{pmatrix} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$
		DI	— DI ————			
	L			ERUSIUN CUNTRUL NUTE		
INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM	I TS	-ITS — PITS — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	— PITS —	FENCING NOTE		
FIBER OPTIC	F0	F0	—— PF 0 —	GUARDRAIL NOTE		1
WATER SHUT OFF	MSO	#So		ITS NOTE		
GAS SHUT OFF	deo	So		LIGHTING NOTE		
HYDRANT	1.0 1.0					
MANHOLES				TRAFFIC SIGNAL NOTE		SHEET 2 OF 2
SEWER		M	ΗS		STATE OF N	EW HAMPSHIRE
TELEPHONE	(†) m ~		ΗΤ	DEPAR	TMENT OF TRANSPORTATI	DN • BUREAU OF HIGHWAY DESIGN
ELECTRICAL			ΗE		$\nabla T \Lambda N D \Lambda D$	DSYMBOLS
GAS		M	НG			
UNKNOWN				REVISION DATE	DGN STATE PROJ	ECT NO. SHEET NO. TOTAL SHEETS

TYPE OF SHORELAND IMPACT	SHAD ING/ HATCH IN(
PROPOSED ADDITIONAL PERMANENT IMPERVIOUS AREA WITHIN PROTECTED SHORELAND AND PROJECT LIMITS	
PROPOSED REMOVED PERMANENT IMPERVIOUS AREA WITHIN PROTECTED SHORELAND AND PROJECT LIMITS	
PROPOSED TEMPORARY IMPERVIOUS AREA WITHIN PROTECTED SHORELAND AND PROJECT LIMITS	

SHORELAND PROPO	DSED IMP	SURFACE	SUMMA	
	AREA	(SF)		
PERM				
ADDED		UNANI		
5786		0		0
TOTAL NET ADDI	TIONAL		5786	

1. Erosion Control/Stormwater Control Selection, Sequencing and Maintenance

1.1. Comply with RSA 485-A:17 Terrain Alteration.

- 1.2. Install and maintain all erosion control/stormwater controls in accordance with the New Hampshire Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 3, Erosion and Sediment Controls During Construction, December 2008 (BMP Manual), available from the NH Department of Environmental Services (NHDES).
- 1.3. Install erosion control/stormwater control measures prior to the start of work and in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.
- 1.4. Select erosion control/stormwater control measures based on the size and nature of the project and physical characteristics of the site, including slope, soil type, vegetative cover, and proximity to jurisdictional areas.
- **1.5.** Install perimeter controls prior to earth disturbing activities.
- 1.6. Install stormwater treatment ponds and drainage swales before rough grading the site.
- 1.7. Clean, replace, and augment stormwater control measures and infiltration basins as necessary to prevent sedimentation beyond project limits throughout the project duration.
- 1.8. Inspect erosion and sediment control measures in accordance with Section 645 of the specifications, weekly, and within 24 hours (during normal work hours), of any storm event greater than 0.25 inches of rain in a 24-hour period.
- 1.9. Contain stockpiles with temporary perimeter controls. Protect inactive soil stockpiles with soil stabilization measures (temporary erosion control seed mix and mulch, soil binder) or cover them with anchored tarps. If the stockpile is to remain undisturbed for more than 14 days, mulch the stockpile.
- 1.10.Maintain temporary erosion and stormwater control measures in place until the area has been permanently stabilized. 1.11.An area is considered stable if one of the following has occurred:
 - Base course gravels have been installed in areas to be paved;
 - A minimum of 85% vegetative growth has been established;
 - A minimum of 3" of non-erosive material such as stone or rip-rap has been installed;
 - Temporary slope stabilization has been properly installed (see Table 1).
- 1.12.Direct runoff to temporary practices until permanent stormwater infrastructure is constructed and stabilized. 1.13. Use temporary mulching, permanent mulching, temporary vegetative cover, and permanent vegetative cover to reduce the need for dust control. Use mechanical sweepers on paved surfaces where necessary to prevent dust buildup. Apply water, or other dust inhibiting agents or tackifiers.
- 1.14.Plan activities to account for sensitive site conditions
 - Sequence construction to limit the duration and area of exposed soils.
 - Clearly flag areas to be protected in the field and provide construction barrier to prevent trafficking outside of work areas.
 - Protect and maximize existing native vegetation and natural forest buffers between construction activities and sensitive areas.
- When work is undertaken in a flowing watercourse, implement stream flow diversion methods prior to any excavation or filling activity. 1.15.Utilize storm drain inlet protection to prevent sediment from entering a storm drainage system prior to the permanent stabilization of the contributing disturbed area.
- 1.16.Use care to ensure that sediments do not enter any existing catch basins during construction. Place temporary inlet protection at inlets in areas of soil disturbance that are subject to sedimentation.
- 1.17 Construct, stabilize, and maintain temporary and permanent ditches in a manner that will minimize scour. Direct temporary and permanent ditches to drain to sediment basins or stormwater collection areas.
- 1.18. Supplement channel protection measures with perimeter control measures when ditch lines occur at the bottom of long fill slopes. Install the perimeter controls on the fill slope to minimize the potential for fill slope sediment deposits in the ditch line.
- 1.19.Divert sediment laden water away from drainage inlet structures to the extent possible.
- 1.20.Install sediment barriers and sediment traps at drainage inlets to prevent sediment from entering the drainage system. 1.21.Clean catch basins, drainage pipes, and culverts if significant sediment is deposited.
- 1.22.Construct and stabilize dewatering infiltration basins prior to any excavation that may require dewatering. 1.23. Place and stabilize temporary sediment basins or traps at locations where concentrated flow (channels and pipes) discharge to the surrounding environment from areas of unstabilized earth disturbing activities.
- 1.24. Stabilize, to appropriate anticipated velocities, conveyance channels or pumping systems needed to convey construction stormwater to basins and discharge locations prior to use.
- 1.25.Size temporary sediment basins to contain the 2-year, 24 hour storm event.
- 1.26 Size temporary sediment traps to contain 3,600 cubic feet of storage for each acre of drainage area.
- 1.27.Construct detention basins to accommodate the 2-year, 24-hour storm event.
- 2. Construction Planning
 - 2.1. Divert off site runoff or clean water away from the construction activities to reduce the volume that needs to be treated on site. 2.2. Divert storm runoff from upslope drainage areas away from disturbed areas, slopes and around active work areas to a stabilized outlet location.
 - 2.3. Construct impermeable barriers, as necessary, to collect or divert concentrated flows from work or disturbed areas.
 - 2.4. Locate staging areas and stockpiles outside of wetlands jurisdiction.
 - 2.5. Do not store, maintain, or repair mobile heavy equipment in wetlands, unless equipment cannot be practicably removed and secondary containment is provided.
 - 2.6. Provide a water truck to control excessive dust, at the discretion of the Contract Administrator.

3. Site Stabilization

- 3.1. Stabilize all areas of unstabilized soil as soon as practicable, but no later than 45 days after initial disturbance. 3.2. Limit unstabilized soil to a maximum of 5 acres unless documentation is provided that demonstrates that cuts and fills are such that 5 acres is unreasonable.
- 3.3. Use erosion control seed mix in all inactive construction areas that will not be permanently seeded within two weeks of disturbance and prior to September 15" of any given year in order to achieve vegetative stabilization prior to the end of the growing season
- 3.4. Apply, and reapply as necessary, soil tackifiers in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications to minimize soil and mulch loss until permanent vegetation is established.
- 3.5. Stabilize basins, ditches and swales prior to directing runoff to them.
- 3.6. Stabilize roadway and parking areas within 72 hours of achieving finished grade.
- 3.7. Stabilize cut and fill slopes within 72 hours of achieving finished grade.
- 3.8. When temporarily stabilizing soils and slopes, utilize the techniques outlined in Table 1.
- 3.9. Stabilize all areas that can be stabilized prior to opening up new areas to construction activities.
- 3.10.Utilize Table 1 when selecting temporary soil stabilization measures.

3.11 Divert off-site water through the project in an appropriate manner so as not to disturb the upstream or downstream soils, vegetation or hydrology beyond the permitted area.

3.12 Install and maintain construction exits anywhere traffic leaves a construction site onto a public right-of-way. 3.13. Sweep all construction related debris and soil from the adjacent paved roadways, as necessary.

EROSION CONTROL NOTES AND STRATEGIES

- 4 Slope Protection
 - to a stabilized outlet or conveyance.
 - 4.2. Consider how groundwater seepage on cut slopes may impact slope stability and incorporate appropriate measures to minimize erosion.
 - 4.3. Convey storm water down the slope in a stabilized channel or slope drain.
 - 4.4. The outer face of the fill slope should be in a loose, ruffled condition prior to turf establishment.
- 5. Winter Construction
 - environmental requirements will be met.
 - after October 15^{°°}, in accordance with Table 1.
 - after October 15^{°°}, in accordance with Table 1
 - after October 15^{°°}. in accordance with Table 1.

 - 1 acre of the project is without stabilization an any one time.
- 6. Wildlife Protection Measures
 - at 603-271-3226 or by email at Bureau16@dot.nh.gov, indicating in the subject line the project name, number, and that a threatened/endangered species was found.
 - Bureau of Environment at the above email address.
 - handled, or harmed prior to receiving direction from the Bureau of Environment.
 - 6.4. Utilize wildlife friendly erosion control methods when: Erosion control blankets are used,
 - A protected species or habitat is documented,
 - The proposed work is in or adjacent to a priority resource area, and/or when specifically requested by NHB or NHF&G

GUIDANCE ON SELECTING TEMPORARY SOTI STARTLIZATION MEASURES

					TABLE	1						
APPLICATION AREAS		DRY MULCI	H METHODS	5	HYDRAU	LICALLY	APPLIED M	ULCHES ²	ROLLED	EROSION	CONTROL	BLANKETS ³
	НМТ	WC	SG	СВ	НМ	SMM	BFM	FRM	SNSB	DNSB	DNSCB	DNCB
SLOPES ¹												
STEEPER THAN 2:1	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	NO	NO	NO	YES
2:1 SLOPE	YES1	YES1	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES
3:1 SLOPE	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO
4:1 SLOPE	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO
WINTER STABILIZATION	4T/AC	YES	YES	YES	NO	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
CHANNELS						•	•	•				•
LOW FLOW CHANNELS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES	YES
HIGH FLOW CHANNELS	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	YES

ABBREV.	STABILIZATION MEASURE	ABBREV.	STABILIZATION MEASURE	ABBREV.	STABILIZATION MEASURE
НМТ	HAY MULCH & TACK	НМ	HYDRAULIC MULCH	SNSB	SINGLE NET STRAW BLANKET
WC	WOOD CHIPS	SMM	STABILIZED MULCH MATRIX	DNSB	DOUBLE NET STRAW BLANKET
SG	STUMP GRINDINGS	BFM	BONDED FIBER MATRIX	DNSCB	2 NET STRAW-COCONUT BLANKET
СВ	COMPOST BLANKET	FRM	FIBER REINFORCED MEDIUM	DNCB	2 NET COCONUT BLANKET

NOTES:

1. All slope stabilization options assume a slope length \leq 10 times the horizontal distance component of the slope, in feet. 2. Do not apply products containing polyacrylamide (PAM) directly to, or within 100 feet of any surface water without NHDES approval. 3. Install all methods in Table 1 per the manufacturer's recommendation for time of year and steepness of slope.

4.1. Intercept and divert storm runoff from upslope drainage areas away from unprotected and newly established areas and slopes

5.1. To minimize erosion and sedimentation impacts, limit the extent and duration of winter excavation and earthwork activities. The maximum amount of disturbed earth shall not exceed a total of 5 acres from May 1" through October 15", or exceed one acre during winter months, unless the contractor demonstrates to the Department that the additional area of disturbance is necessary to meet the contractor's Critical Path Method (CPM) schedule, and the contractor has adequate resources available to ensure that

5.2. Construction performed any time between October 15" and May 1" of any year is considered winter construction. During winter construction: • Stabilize all proposed vegetation areas which do not exhibit a minimum of 85% vegetative growth by October 15^{*}, or which are disturbed

• Stabilize all ditches or swales which do not exhibit a minimum of 85% vegetative growth by October 15", or which are disturbed

• Protect incomplete road surfaces, where base course gravels have not been installed, and where work has stopped for the season

• Unless a winter construction plan has been approved by NHDOT, conduct winter excavation and earthwork such that no more than

6.1. Report all observations of threatened and endangered species on the project site to the Department's Bureau of Environment by phone

6.2. Photograph the observed species and nearby elements of habitat or areas of land disturbance and provide them to the Department's

6.3. In the event that a threatened or endangered species is observed on the project during work, the species shall not be disturbed,

	_							
		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE						
		DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTA	TION o	BUREAU OF	HIGHWA	Y DESIGN		
		EROSION	CONTR	ROL PL	LANS	5		
	REVISION DATE	DGN	STATE PROJECT	T NO. SHE	ET NO.	TOTAL SHEETS		
erosstrat-ce	02-29-2024	sd-erostrat-02292024	40392		8	9		

